

**Table 1.** Mean serum T3 and T4 levels and SEM (in parentheses) in six groups of patients with differentiated thyroid carcinoma after hormonal therapy recommenced. Normal values for T3 are 60–200 ng/dl and for T4 5–11.8 µg/dl

Days	0	7	14	21	30	60
<b>T3</b>						
Group 1	37.5 (3.8)	57.3 (9.4)	66.4 (8.4)	80.9 (7.8)	97.4 (6.1)	125.7 (10.4)
Group 2	44.8 (6.5)	104.8 (8.3)	132.5 (11.5)	137.3 (9.5)	147.8 (9.6)	148.1 (10.4)
Group 3	45.7 (5.3)	150.0 (14.9)	173.8 (17.8)	177.0 (13.6)	214.3 (22.3)	145.0 (10.2)
Group 4	30.6 (38.0)	63.1 (8.4)	93.9 (11.2)	112.0 (8.2)	119.1 (6.2)	139.7 (7.1)
Group 5	38.9 (5.0)	137.5 (20.4)	172.0 (10.7)	190.0 (18.6)	186.0 (13.0)	169.0 (8.8)
Group 6	32.1 (2.8)	215.0 (20.3)	228.7 (20.4)	222.5 (17.2)	206.5 (7.7)	151.0 (8.8)
<b>T4</b>						
Group 1	0.6 (0.1)	3.2 (0.5)	5.1 (0.5)	6.8 (0.5)	8.0 (0.5)	10.4 (0.6)
Group 2	2.0 (0.4)	6.1 (0.4)	7.0 (0.2)	7.1 (0.3)	7.8 (0.4)	10.5 (0.3)
Group 3	2.6 (0.5)	5.5 (0.5)	7.3 (0.7)	7.7 (0.6)	9.0 (0.5)	10.4 (0.4)
Group 4	1.1 (0.1)	5.5 (0.7)	8.3 (0.9)	10.2 (0.9)	10.7 (0.8)	13.9 (1.0)
Group 5	3.3 (1.2)	8.0 (1.1)	11.1 (0.8)	11.5 (0.8)	11.4 (0.5)	12.8 (0.4)
Group 6	1.8 (0.3)	6.6 (0.5)	8.8 (0.8)	10.1 (0.9)	10.5 (0.5)	14.1 (0.8)

1. Staunton MD, Greenings WP. Treatment of thyroid cancer in 293 patients. *Br J Surg* 1976, **63**, 253–258.
2. Mazzaferri EL, Young RL, Oertel JE, Kammerer WT, Page CP. Papillary thyroid carcinoma: the impact of therapy in 576 patients. *Medicine* 1977, **56**, 171–196.
3. Lamberg BA, Rantanen M, Saarinen P, Liewendal K, Sivula A. Suppression of TSH response by THYR therapy in differentiated carcinoma patients. *Acta Endocrinol* 1979, **91**, 248–256.
4. Clark OH. TSH suppression in the management of thyroid nodules and thyroid cancer. *World J Surg* 1981, **5**, 39–47.
5. Williams DW, Wynford-Thomas D, Williams ED. Control of human thyroid follicular cell proliferation in suspension and monolayer culture. *Mol Cell Endocrinol* 1987, **51**, 33–40.
6. Maini CL, Sciuto R, Tofani A. Delayed thyroid-stimulating hormone suppression by l-thyroxine in the management of differentiated thyroid carcinoma. *Eur J Cancer* 1993, **14**, 2071–2072.
7. Maini CL, Sciuto R, Tofani A. TSH suppression by Octreotide in differentiated thyroid carcinoma. *Clin Endocrinol* 1994, **40**, 335–339.

*European Journal of Cancer* Vol. 30A, No. 14, pp. 2185–2186, 1994.

Copyright © 1994 Elsevier Science Ltd

Printed in Great Britain. All rights reserved

0959-8049/94 \$7.00 + 0.00

0959-8049(94)00411-0

## Prognostic Significance of Phagocytic Functions in Breast Cancer Patients

J. Lukač, S. Lechpammer, Z. Kusić,  
A. Bolanča and N. Daković

THE ROLE of immunocompetence in the prognosis of breast cancer has been widely examined, but to date no series of

practical immunological tests has been identified which clearly permits accurate prediction of survival [1–3]. In this study, preliminary results of evaluation of prognostic significance of phagocytosis, the most important host defence mechanism, are presented. Using an acridine orange method described previously [4] and viable yeast cells as targets, the phagocytic activity (% of phagocytic cells), in addition to ingestion and intracellular killing abilities of peripheral blood granulocytes and monocytes, were determined in 66 patients (mean age 61 years) with ductal invasive breast cancer, clinical stages I, II and III (38, 40 and 6%, respectively). Assessments were made after radical mastectomy, but before proceeding with any other therapy, and repeated for a group of 36 age- and sex-matched healthy volunteers. Results were analysed using the Mann–Whitney U test. Granulocyte ingestion ( $P=0.001$ ), granulocyte microbicidity ( $P<0.009$ ) and monocyte microbicidity ( $P=0.039$ ) were decreased in the patient group compared with normal values. After a 3-year follow-up, 8 patients (group B) developed distant metastases (2 liver, 2 lung, 4 bone), while the other 58 remained free of metastases (group A). Retrospective analysis of phagocytic functions determined at the beginning of the follow-up period (i.e. at the time when all patients were free of distant metastases) showed differences between these two groups. Granulocyte phagocytic activity in group B was decreased in comparison with group A ( $P=0.057$ ). Monocyte phagocytic activity in group B was also decreased, although the difference was less significant ( $P=0.103$ ). Further differences appeared in the monocyte intracellular killing capacity, which

Correspondence to J. Lukač.

All authors are at the Division of Immunology, Department of Nuclear Medicine and Oncology, University Hospital "Sestre milosrdnice", Zagreb HR-41000, Vinogradska 29, Croatia.

Received 17 May 1994; accepted 28 Sep. 1994.

was also decreased in group B ( $P=0.015$ ). Results presented show that both granulocyte and monocyte phagocytic functions are altered in breast cancer patients early in the disease process, and are not caused by potentially myelosuppressive therapy [5]. Differences in these functions, which existed at the time of diagnosis, seem to be related to the progression of the disease and therefore could be of prognostic value.

1. Adler A, Stein JA, Ben-Efraim S. Immunocompetence, immunosuppression, and human breast cancer. III. Prognostic significance of initial level of immunocompetence in early and advanced disease. *Cancer* 1980, 45, 2074–2083.
2. Ownby HE, Roi LD, Isenberg RR, Brennan MJ. Peripheral lymphocyte and eosinophil counts as indicators of prognosis in primary breast cancer. *Cancer* 1983, 52, 126–130.
3. Shukla HS, Hughes LE, Whitehead RH, Newcombe RG. Long-term follow-up of general immunocompetence in breast cancer. *Cancer Immunol Immunother* 1986, 21, 6–11.
4. Lukać J, Burek B, Kusić Z. Peripheral blood lymphocyte populations and phagocytic functions in patients with active alopecia areata. *Acta Med Croatica* 1993, 47, 113–118.
5. Anaissie E, Bodey GP, Kantarjian H, *et al.* New spectrum of fungal infections in patients with cancer. *Rev Infect Dis* 1989, 11, 69–378.

*European Journal of Cancer* Vol. 30A, No. 14, p. 2186, 1994.  
Copyright © 1994 Elsevier Science Ltd  
Printed in Great Britain. All rights reserved  
0959-8049/94 \$7.00 + 0.00

0959-8049(94)00365-3

## A New Model for the Interaction of EGF-like Ligands With Their Receptors: the New One-Two

W.J. Gullick

OVEREXPRESSION or mutation of the type 1 growth factor receptors and their ligands occurs frequently in human tumours, and in some cases is associated with prognosis and response to treatment. A deeper understanding of their mechanisms of activation would be useful in the design of receptor inhibitors.

For some years, the accepted model for interaction of epidermal growth factor (EGF) with its receptor has been that one ligand binds to a receptor monomer, and that this is followed by receptor dimerisation, forming a complex of two receptors and two ligands (2:2). I wish to consider a new model in which a single molecule of EGF binds to a receptor dimer (1:2).

Such a complex is necessarily asymmetric as EGF itself is asymmetric. This, therefore, requires that one face of the ligand binds to one site in the first receptor (site A) and, through another part of its surface, to a different site in the second receptor (site B). Is there any reason to believe that this could occur? Inspection of the primary sequence of the extracellular domain of the EGF receptor suggests a possible explanation in that this is composed of two related sequences, each containing a region rich in cysteine residues associated with another sequence. Experiments from Schlessinger and colleagues have demonstrated that the more C-terminal (domain III) of the non-

cysteine rich regions is largely responsible for ligand binding [1], but that mutations in the second N-terminal equivalent region (domain I) also, but less fundamentally, affect binding [2]. It is possible, therefore, that ligand binding occurs first to site A (domain III) in a monomer with moderate affinity, and that this is followed by dimerisation with a second receptor, but with the EGF binding to site B (domain I), thereby forming the high affinity complex.

What is the evidence in favour of this model? Mutational analysis of EGF suggests that substitution of either residue 41 [3] or 47 [4] prevents receptor recognition. However, these side chains are on opposite faces of the ligand [5]. In the 1:2 model, this would be predicted, but it is less easy to reconcile with the 2:2 structure. Secondly, in the closely related type 1 receptors, HER2 and HER3, NDF/Heregulin can be chemically cross-linked to either receptor in a heterodimer, suggesting that the ligand is in close proximity to each receptor molecule [6]. A precedent for this 1:2 complex is provided by the asymmetric structure of one molecule of growth hormone bound to two receptor proteins [7].

The principle evidence in favour of the 2:2 model is the stoichiometry of ligand binding determined by Weber and associates [8]. These measurements, despite being carefully performed, are, however, sensitive to small variations in specific activity of the iodinated EGF, and the accuracy of measurements of protein concentration by comparative Coomassie blue staining and autoradiography. The structure suggested here leads to some predictions which can be tested by experiment, which may help to resolve the issue. Binding of one ligand to a receptor heterodimeric complex would not, for instance, allow binding of another ligand. For example, EGF binding to a heterodimer of EGFR and HER3 would prevent binding of NDF/Heregulin and *vice versa*. A speculation arising from this model is that it is possible that the five different ligands, known to bind to the EGF receptor and the alpha and beta families of NDF/Heregulin (which differ in their C-terminal parts of the EGF-like element), may be able to stabilise different combinations of type 1 receptor heterodimers. I believe therefore that, for the moment, results of experiments performed on this highly interactive system of receptors should now be considered in the light of both models.

1. Lax I, Burgess WH, Bellot F, Ullrich A, Schlessinger J, Givol D. Localization of a major receptor-binding domain for epidermal growth factor by affinity labeling. *Mol Cell Biol* 1988, 8, 1831–1834.
2. Lax I, Fischer R, Ng C, *et al.* Noncontiguous regions in the extracellular domain of EGF receptor define ligand-binding specificity. *Cell Regulation* 1991, 2, 337–345.
3. Hommel U, Dudgeon TJ, Fallon A, Edwards RM, Campbell ID. Structure-function relationships in human epidermal growth factor studied by site-directed mutagenesis and <sup>1</sup>H NMR. *Biochemistry* 1991, 30, 8891–8898.
4. Dudgeon TJ, Cooke RM, Baron M, Campbell ID, Edwards RM, Fallon A. Structure-function analysis of epidermal growth factor: site directed mutagenesis and nuclear magnetic resonance. *FEBS Letts* 1990, 261, 392–396.
5. Cooke RM, Wilkinson AJ, Baron M, *et al.* The solution structure of human epidermal growth factor. *Nature* 1987, 327, 339–341.
6. Sliwkowski MX, Schaefer G, Akita RW, *et al.* Coexpression of *erbB2* and *erbB3* proteins reconstitutes a high affinity receptor for heregulin. *J Biol Chem* 1994, 269, 14661–14665.
7. Cunningham BC, Ultsch M, de Vos AM, Mulkerrin MG, Clauser KR, Wells JA. Dimerisation of the extracellular domain of the human growth hormone receptor by a single hormone molecule. *Science* 1991, 254, 821–825.
8. Weber W, Bertics PJ, Gill GN. Immunoaffinity purification of the epidermal growth factor receptor. *J Biol Chem* 1984, 259, 14631–14636.

Correspondence to W.J. Gullick at the ICRF Oncology Unit, Hammersmith Hospital, London W12 0NN, U.K.  
Received 1 Aug. 1994; accepted 24 Aug. 1994.